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What’s the problem?

- Collateral damage - right to information is being squeezed as a consequence of changes in the delivery of public services
- Avoidance measures being adopted by some of those in authority
- Agenda shift towards big data and away from FoI
Collateral damage

Erosion of rights to information, following changes to delivery of public services
Losing rights to information
Local services contracted out

- Public functions are being delivered by new agencies; trusts and charities beyond scope of FoI laws.
National Crime Agency to 'relentlessly pursue' organised crime


Information kept out of harm’s way?

New public bodies being excluded from FoI requirements
Avoidance measures

Observing the letter of the law – no more ...and often a lot less
Basic FoI avoidance

1. Do not write it down
2. Do not retain the information
3. Delete in anticipation of FoI request
4. Delay responding to a request for information

"I don't want to talk to him! Put him on 'delete'!"
Whose information is it anyway

1. Exchanging information using personal e-mail accounts; Dropbox folders
2. Holding official information on personal assets – phone, tablets, sim cards
“Whether information is accessible turns on the nature of the information and its relationship to government business, not on the ownership of a device or account” (Western Australia)

“The relevant issue when considering whether to grant access to information or not is the subject matter; not the device used.” (Sweden)
Access to data v right to information

Does public access to more data reduce the need for individual requests for information?
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Lazy authority?

Parent exposes poor policy of health authority, which delays tests on children for ‘lazy eye’ (amblyopia) a treatable eye disorder
Local knowledge prevents rural school closures

School closure plans based on flawed information halted. Independent commission calls for “transparent, accurate and consistent information”
Catching up - What might future proofing involve?

Ensuring the law is fit for current and future purpose

Exercising leadership beyond what is legally required

Culture shift
Time for an upgrade…

Apple invents the personal computer. Again.
Transformative leadership

“Just how are we going to have this brave new world with all the same old people in it?”
The need for culture shift
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